THE

## THE POETICS OF SPACE

#### GASTON BACHELARD

SOLD MORE THAN 80,000 COPIES

Bachelard takes us on a journey, from cellar to attic, to show how our perceptions of houses and other shelters shape our one of the most appealing and lyrical explorations of home. philosopher Gaston Bachelard's Poetics of Space remains Thirty years since its first publication in English, French thoughts, memories, and dreams.

significances. Every reader of it will never again see ordinary spaces in ordinary ways. Instead the reader will see with the "A magical book. . . . The Poetics of Space is a prism through which all worlds from literary creation to housework to aesthetics to carpentry take on enhanced—and enchanted soul of the eye, the glint of Gaston Bachelard." -From the new Foreword by John R. Stilgoe

SPACE

philosophers. He is also the author of The Psychoanalysis of Gaston Bachelard (1884-1962) was one of Europe's leading Fire and The Poetics of Reverie.

GASTON

ies at Harvard University and author of Borderland: Origins of John R. Stilgoe is professor of visual and environmental studthe American Suburbs.

BACHELARD

BY COVER PHOTOGRAPH SARA EISENMAN PHILIP TRAGER COVER DESIGN BY

BEACON PRESS BOSTON



# HE POETICS

### OF SPACE

POETICS

H M MOH AT LOOK THE CLASSIC

PLACES INTIMATE EXPERIENCE

0 F GASTON

BACHELAR

FOREWORD ANEW WITH

R.STILGOE BY JOHN



Je cueillis un nid dans le squelette du lierre Un nid doux de mousse champêtre et herbe de songe.

YVAN GOLL, Tombeau du père, in Poètes d'aujourd'hui, '50-Ed. Séghers, p. 156.

(I found a nest in the skelcton of the ivy A soft nest of country moss and dream herb.)

Nids blancs vos oiseaux vont fleurir

Vous volerez, sentiers de plume.

ROBERT GANZO, L'oeuvre poétique Ed. Grasset, p. 63.

(White nests your birds will flower

You will fly, feather paths.)

In one short sentence, Victor Hugo associates the images and beings of the function of inhabiting. For Quasimodo, he says,¹ the cathedral had been successively "egg, nest, house, country and universe." "One might almost say that he had espoused its form the way a snail does the form of its shell. It was his home, his hole, his envelope . . . He adhered to it, as it were, like a turtle to its carapace. This rugged cathedral was his armor." All of these images were needed to tell how an unfortunate creature assumed the

1 Victor Hugo, Notre-Dame de Paris, book IV, § 3.

contorted forms of his numerous hiding-places in the corners of this complex structure. In this way, by multiplying his images, the poet makes us aware of the powers of the various refuges. But he immediately adds a sign of moderation to the abundance of images. "It is useless," he continues, "to warn the reader not to take literally the figures of speech that I am obliged to use here to express the strange, symmetrical, immediate, almost consubstantial flexibility of a man and an edifice."

on animal movements of withdrawal, movements that are hole, a rabbit in its burrow, cows in the stable, must all feel well-being I feel, seated in front of my fire, while bad he wrote them, was living quietly in the country:1 "The our consciousness of well-being should call for comparison a quantity of animal beings there are in the being of a engraved in our muscles. How psychology would deepen express the dynamics of retreat, we should find images based to look among the wealth of our vocabulary for verbs that takes to cover, hides away, lies snug, concealed. If we were ture endowed with a sense of refuge, huddles up to itself, back to the primitiveness of the refuge. Physically, the creathe same contentment that I feel." Thus, well-being takes us weather rages out-of-doors, is entirely animal. A rat in its in the following lines by the painter, Vlaminck, who, when with animals in their shelters. An example may be found man! But our research does not go that far. It would already if we could know the psychology of each musclel And what them, in a way, we live them. these images of refuge by showing that by understanding be a good deal if we were able to enhance the value of It is striking that even in our homes, where there is light,

With nests and, above all, shells, we shall find a whole series of images that I am going to try to characterize as primal images; images that bring out the primitiveness in us. I shall then show that a human being likes to "withdraw into his corner," and that it gives him physical pleasure to do so.

1 Vlaminck, Poliment, 1931, p. 52.

Already, in the world of inanimate objects, extraordinary significance is attached to nests. We want them to be perfect, to bear the mark of a very sure instinct. We ourselves marvel at this instinct, and a nest is generally considered to be one of the marvels of animal life. An example of this much vaunted perfection may be found in one of Ambroise Paré's works:1 "The enterprise and skill with which animals make their nests is so efficient that it is not possible to do better, so entirely do they surpass all masons, carpenters and builders; for there is not a man who would be able to make a house better suited to himself and to his children than these little animals build for themselves. This is so true, in fact, that we have a proverb according to which men can do everything except build a bird's nest."

A book that is limited to facts soon dampens this enthusiasm, as, for instance, Arthur Landsborough Thomson's book, in which we are told that nests are often barely started, and at times, botched. "When the golden eagle nests in a tree, it sometimes makes an enormous pile of branches to which every year it adds others, until one day the entire thing falls to pieces under its own weight." Between enthusiasm and scientific criticism one could find countless shades of opinion if one followed the history of ornithology. But this is not our subject. Let us note in passing, however, that we have here a controversy over values that often deforms the facts on both sides. And who knows if this fall, not of the eagle, but of the eagle's nest, does not furnish the author with the minor delight of being disrespectful.

jaco jaco jaco

Positively speaking, there is nothing more absurd than images that attribute human qualities to a nest. For a bird, 1 Ambroise Paré, Le livre des animaux et de l'intelligence de l'homme. Oeuvres complètes, edition J. F. Malgaigne, vol. III, p. 740.

2 A. Landsborough Thomson, Birds. Reference is to French translation (ed. Cluny, 1934), p. 104.

giving home, since it continues to shelter the bird that has a nest is no doubt a good warm home, it is even a life other, were actually compared with the real nest, lost in the own down. But why hasten to make a human image, an come out of the egg. It also serves as a sort of downy coverobliged to reflect upon all this and deduce from it a lesson curricular affair, and the nest is not built until later, when with the following single remark: "Haven't dreams always compare a garret to a nest, and accompany the comparison the mad love-chase across the fields is over. If we were foliage. Among birds, need I recall, love is a strictly extra-"little nest," the warm "little nest" that lovers promise each image for man's use, out of such a paltry thing? The ridiculet for the baby bird until its quite naked skin grows its image is generally childish. liked to perch on high?" In short, in literature, the nest ject, either. Only someone like André Theuriet would forest love and love in a city room. But this is not our subfor human beings, we should have to evolve a dialectics of lous nature of this image would become evident if the cosy

quite positive task reserved for ornithologists. A beginning start with. And yet it has certain initial virtues which a cosmic implications. naïve wonder we used to feel when we found a nest. This or, even more positively, in our capacity to recapture the in our being able to elucidate the interest with which we of a philosophical phenomenology of nests would consist nology to describe the nests met with in nature, which is a standings as to the principal function of philosophical phenomenologist who likes simple problems, can discover. us have been endowed by life with the full measure of its to the childhoods we should have had. For not many of takes us back to our childhood or, rather, to a childhood; wonder is lasting, and today when we discover a nest it look through an album containing reproductions of nests, phenomenology. For it is not the task of this phenome-It offers a fresh opportunity to do away with misunder-The "nest" that is "lived" was therefore a poor image to

1 André Theuriet, Colette, p. 209.

How many times, in my garden, I have experienced the disappointment of discovering a nest too late. Autumn was there, the leaves had already begun to fall and in the fork of two branches there was an abandoned nest. To think that they had all been there: the father bird, the mother bird and the nestlings. And I had not seen them!

An empty nest found belatedly in the woods in winter, mocks the finder. A nest is a hiding-place for winged creatures. How could it have remained invisible? Invisible from above, and yet far from the more dependable hiding-places on the ground? But since, in order to determine the shades of being in an image, we must add a super-impression to it, here is a legend that carries the imagination of an invisible nest to its utmost point. It is taken from Charbonneaux-Lassay's very fine book: Le bestiaire du Christ.¹ "People used to think that the hoopoe bird could hide entirely from the sight of all living creatures, which explains the fact that, at the end of the Middle Ages, it was still believed that there was a multicolored herb in the hoopoe's nest which made a man invisible when he wore it."

This may be Yvan Goll's "dream herb."

But the dreams of today do not go this far, and an abandoned nest no longer contains the herb of invisibility. Indeed, the nest we pluck from the hedge like a dead flower, is nothing but a "thing." I have the right to take it in my hands and pull it apart. In melancholy mood, I become once more a man of the fields and thickets, and a bit vain at being able to hand on my knowledge to a child, I say: "This is the nest of a titmouse."

And so the old nest enters into the category of objects. The more varied the objects, the simpler the concept. But as our collection of nests grows, our imagination remains idle, and we lose contact with living nests.

And yet it is living nests that could introduce a phenomenology of the actual nest, of the nest found in natural surroundings, and which becomes for a moment the center—the term is no exaggeration—of an entire universe, the evidence of a cosmic situation. Gently I lift a branch. In 1 L. Charbonneaux-Lassay, *Le bestiaire du Christ*, Paris, 1940, p. 489.

the nest is a setting bird. But it doesn't fly away, it only quivers a little. I tremble at having caused it to tremble. I am afraid that this setting bird will realize that I am a man, a being that has lost the confidence of birds. I remain motionless. Slowly the bird's fear and my own fear of causing fear are allayed—or so I imagine. I breathe easily again, and let go of the branch. I'll come back tomorrow. Today, I am happy, because some birds have built a nest in my garden.

And the next day when I come back, walking more softly than the day before, I see eight pink-white eggs in the bottom of the nest. But how small they are! How small these thicket eggs are!

experienced it. And I recall very clearly days in my life an emblematical life of universal dimensions to the life of ophy of a Fourier in both his life and work, and even added with such "emotion," helps us to understand that he should ests! And the fact that from the start, Toussenel reacted passage for those who are always looking for primal inter-That day, by chance, I found my vocation." What a fine I stood there for over an hour, glued to one spot, looking seized with an emotion of such indescribable delight that eggs striated with red lines, like an emblematical map. I was version. It was a lovely linner's nest with four pinkish-gray that of the a first prize I won in grammar school for a Latin lines from Toussenel's Le monde des oiseaux:1 "My recolthese are rare in life. And how well I understand these when I found a live nest. Such genuine recollections as tate to repeat it, even to myself. And yet, I have just refor a long time. In fact, it is such an old story that I hesi I've known this for a long time, people have told it to me have succeeded in integrating the entire harmonic philos has remained more deeply engraved in my memory than lection of the first bird's nest that I found all by myself This is a living, inhabited nest. A nest is a bird's house

<sup>1</sup> A. Toussenel, Le monde des oiseaux, Ornithologie passionnelle, Paris 1853, p. 32.

But in everyday life too, for a man who lives in the woods and fields, the discovery of a nest is always a source of fresh emotion. Fernand Lequenne, the botanist, writes that one day while walking with his wife, Matilda, he saw a warbler's nest in a black hawthorne bush: "Matilda knelt down and, holding out one finger, barely touched the soft moss, then withdrew her finger, only leaving it outstretched...

"Suddenly I began to tremble.

"I had just discovered the feminine significance of a nest set in the fork of two branches. The thicket took on such a human quality that I called out: 'Don't touch it, above all, don't touch it'!"

F- K-

Toussenel's "emotion" and Lequenne's "trembling" both bear the mark of sincerity. I have recalled them in my reading, since it is in books that we enjoy the surprise of "discovering a nest." Let us pursue our search for nests in literature. The following is an example in which the author sets the domiciliary value of the nest one tone higher. It is taken from the Journals of Henry David Thoreau, March 17, 1858. Here the entire tree, for the bird, is the vestibule of the nest. Already, a tree that has the honor of sheltering a nest participates in its mystery. For a bird, a tree is already a refuge. Thoreau tells of a green woodpecker that took an entire tree for its home. He compares this taking possession with the joy of a family that returns to live in a house it had long since abandoned.

"It is as when a family, your neighbors, return to an empty house after a long absence, and you hear the cheerful hum of voices and the laughter of children, and see the smoke from the kitchen fire. The doors are thrown open, and children go screaming through the hall. So the flicker dashes through the aisles of the grove, throws up a window here and cackles out it, and then there, airing the house. It makes its voice ring up-stairs and down-stairs, and 1 Fernand Lequenne, *Plantes sauvages*, p. 269.

so, as it were, fits it for its habitation and ours, and takes possession."

among the warblers." and there, isolated between heaven and earth, I spent hours up my headquarters, like a nest, in one of these willows, teaubriand made the following confidential note: "I had set dreamer hides in it. In his Mémoires d'Outretombe, Chaness of image. A tree becomes a nest the moment a great it, with this nest that has the dimensions of a tree, a full-But a poetic spirit will be grateful to Thoreau for giving of the tree and sings on its balcony, is an "exaggeration." this woodpecker "proprietor," who appears at the window allegories. A reasonable critic will no doubt consider that leave well behind us the implications of comparisons and its nest, represents taking possession of a home. Here we confidence in the shelter of the tree in which it has hidden the happy household is a flourishing nest. The woodpecker's both nest and house. We are struck too by the fact that the text comes alive in both directions of the metaphor: In this passage Thoreau gives an expanded version of

And the fact is that, in a garden, we grow more attached to a tree inhabited by birds. However mysterious and invisible among the leaves the green-garbed woodpecker may be at times, he nevertheless becomes familiar to us. For a woodpecker is not a silent dweller. It is not when he sings, however, that we think of him, but when he works. Up and down the tree-trunk, his beak pecks the wood with resounding taps, and although he frequently disappears, we still hear him. He is a garden worker.

And so the woodpecker enters into my sound world and I make a salutary image of him for my own use. In my Paris apartment, when a neighbor drives nails into the wall at an undue hour, I "naturalize" the noise by imagining that I am in my house in Dijon, where I have a garden. And finding everything I hear quite natural, I say to myself: "That's my woodpecker at work in the acacia tree." This is my method for obtaining calm when things disturb me.

ous peasant cottages, wrote to his brother: "The cottage, openings are low. A thatched cottage is set on the ground straw emphasizes the will to provide shelter by extending and something else than itself. Van Gogh's thatched cot such as this. For the simplest image is doubled; it is itself a cottage, he dreams of a nest. It is as though one dreamed painting a nest, he dreams of a cottage and, while painting with its thatched roof, made me think of a wren's nest." vice versa, it can only be in an atmosphere of simplicity. ately associated with the image of a simple house. When we well beyond the walls. Indeed, in this instance, among all tages are overladen with thatch. Thick, coarsely plaited twice, in two registers, when one dreams of an image cluster For a painter, it is probably twice as interesting if, while Van Gogh, who painted numerous nests, as well as numer pass from the image of a nest to the image of a house, and A nest, like any other image of rest and quiet, is immedi like a nest in a field. the roof's covering the walls are of earth and stone. The the shelter virtues, the roof is the dominant evidence. Under

And a wren's nest is a thatched cottage, because it is a covered, round nest. The Abbé Vincelot has described it as follows: "The wren builds its nest in the form of a very round ball, in the bottom of which it makes a small hole to let the water out. Usually this hole is hidden beneath a branch, and I have often examined a nest from every angle before noticing this opening, which also serves as entrance for the female bird." By living Van Gogh's nest-cottage in its obvious liaison, the words suddenly seem to jest. I like to tell myself that a little king lives in that cottage. Here is certainly a fairy-tale image, an image that suggests any number of tales.

étymologiques sur l'ornithologie, Angers, 1867, p. 233.

\*

A nest-house is never young. Indeed, speaking as a pedant, we might say that it is the natural habitat of the function of inhabiting. For not only do we come back to it, but we dream of coming back to it, the way a bird comes back to its nest, or a lamb to the fold. This sign of return marks an infinite number of daydreams, for the reason that human returning takes place in the great rhythm of human life, a rhythm that reaches back across the years and, through the dream, combats all absence. An intimate component of faithful loyalty reacts upon the related images of nest and house.

that one is surprised at the poet's delight in them. But sipid and cold; it would be purely linear. For here we are rather austere volume on the theme of the desert:1 meaningful when one considers that it appeared in a tiède (The warm nest). This poem becomes all the more this renewal of such a simple image. We are deeply moved rare felicity. No phenomenologist could help reacting to simplicity brings forgetfulness, and suddenly we feel gratein this domain of the nest image the lines are so simple asked to understand the images one after the other. And on the conceptual level, on the other hand, would be inwhen we read Jean Caubère's simple poem entitled: Le nid ful toward the poet who has the talent to renew it with such it hears all the resonances in a harmonic reading. Reading cately. The soul is so sensitive to these simple images that In this domain, everything takes place simply and deli-

Le nid tiède et calme Où chante l'oiseau

Rappelle les chansons, les charmes Le seuil pur De la vieille maison.

<sup>1</sup> Van Gogh, Lettres à Théo, p. 12 (French translation).
2 Vincelot, Les noms des oiseaux expliqués par leurs moeurs, ou essais

<sup>1</sup> Jean Caubère, Déserts, p. 25. Debresse, Paris.

(The warm, calm nest In which a bird sings

Recalls the songs, the charms,
The pure threshold
Of my old home.)

And here the threshold is a hospitable threshold, one that does not intimidate us by its majesty. The two images: the calm nest and the old home, weave the sturdy web of intimacy on the dream loom. And the images are all simple ones, with no attempt at picturesqueness. The poet rightly thought that, at the mention of a nest, a bird's song, and the charms that take us back to the old home, to the first home, a sort of musical chord would sound in the soul of the reader. But in order to make so gentle a comparison between house and nest, one must have lost the house that stood for happiness. So there is also an alas in this song of tenderness. If we return to the old home as to a nest, it is because memories are dreams, because the home of other days has become a great image of lost intimacy.

M

Thus values alter facts. The moment we love an image, it cannot remain the copy of a fact. One of the greatest of dreamers of winged life, Jules Michelet, has given us fresh evidence of this. And yet he only devotes a few pages to "bird architecture." But these are pages that think and dream at the same time.

According to Michelet, a bird is a worker without tools. It has "neither the hand of the squirrel, nor the teeth of the beaver." "In reality," he writes, "a bird's tool is its own body, that is, its breast, with which it presses and tightens its materials until they have become absolutely pliant, well-blended and adapted to the general plan." I Jules Michelet, L'oiseau, 4th edition, 1858, p. 208 etc. Joseph Joubert (Pensées, Vol. 11, p. 167) has also written: "It would be interesting to find out if the forms that birds give their nests, without ever having seen a nest, have not some analogy with their own inner constitutions."

And Michelet suggests a house built by and for the body, taking form from the inside, like a shell, in an intimacy that works physically. The form of the nest is commanded by the inside. "On the inside," he continues, "the instrument that prescribes a circular form for the nest is nothing else but the body of the bird. It is by constantly turning round and round and pressing back the walls on every side, that it succeeds in forming this circle." The female, like a living tower, hollows out the house, while the male brings back from the outside all kinds of materials, sturdy twigs and other bits. By exercising an active pressure, the female makes this into a felt-like padding.

Michelet goes on: "The house is a bird's very person; it is its form and its most immediate effort, I shall even say, its suffering. The result is only obtained by constantly repeated pressure of the breast. There is not one of these blades of grass that, in order to make it curve and hold the curve, has not been pressed on countless times by the bird's breast, its heart, surely with difficulty in breathing, perhaps even, with palpitations."

What an incredible inversion of images! Here we have the breast created by the embryo. Everything is a matter of inner pressure, physically dominant intimacy. The nest is a swelling fruit, pressing against its limits.

From the depths of what daydreams do such images arise? They might come, of course, from the dream of the protection that is closest to us, a protection adapted to our bodies. Dreams of a garment-house are not unfamiliar to those who indulge in the imaginary exercise of the function of inhabiting. And if we were to work at our dwelling-places the way Michelet dreams of his nest, we should not be wearing the ready-made clothes, so often viewed with disfavor by Bergson. On the contrary, each one of us would have a personal house of his own, a nest for his body, padded to his measure. In Romain Rolland's novel, Colas Breugnon, when, after a life of trials, the leading character is offered a larger, more convenient house, he refuses it as being a garment that would not fit him. "Either it would

hang on me too loosely," he says, "or I should make it burst at the seams."

By following the nest images collected by Michelet to the human level, we realize that, from the start, these were human images. It is even doubtful if an ornithologist would describe the building of a nest the way Michelet does, and a nest built in this way would have to be called a Michelet nest. Phenomenologists will use it to test the dynamisms of a strange sort of withdrawal, which is active and in a state of constant renewal. This is not a dynamics of insomnia, during which we turn and toss in our beds. Michelet points out how the home is modeled by fine touches, which make a surface originally bristling and composite into one that is smooth and soft.

Incidentally, this passage by Michelet constitutes a rare and, for this reason, all the more valuable, document on the subject of the material imagination. Indeed, no one who likes images of matter can forget it, because it describes dry modeling. This is the modeling, or shall we say, the marriage, in the dry air and summer sunlight, of moss and down. Michelet's nest is a paean of praise to its felt-like fabric.

It should be noted in closing that few dreamers of nests like a swallow's nest which, they say, is made of saliva and mud. People have even wondered where all the swallows lived before the existence of houses and cities. Swallows, in other words, are not "regular" birds, and Charbonneaux-Lassay wrote of them: "I have heard peasants in the Vendee say that a swallow's nest could frighten the night devils away, even in winter."<sup>2</sup>

#### VIII

If we go deeper into daydreams of nests, we soon encounter a sort of paradox of sensibility. A nest—and this we understand right away—is a precarious thing, and yet it sets us to daydreaming of security. Why does this obvious pre-

cariousness not arrest daydreams of this kind? The answer to this paradox is simple: when we dream, we are phenomenologists without realizing it. In a sort of naïve way, we relive the instinct of the bird, taking pleasure in accentuating the mimetic features of the green nest in green leaves. We definitely saw it, but we say that it was well hidden. This center of animal life is concealed by the immense volume of vegetable life. The nest is a lyrical bouquet of leaves. It participates in the peace of the vegetable world. It is a point in the atmosphere of happiness that always surrounds large trees.

A poet once wrote:1

J'ai rêvê d'un nid où les arbres repoussaient la mort.
(I dreamed of a nest in which the trees repulsed death.)

ning of confidence, an urge toward cosmic confidence. of security of our first home. In order to experience this nest in the world, and we shall live there in complete condoxically no doubt, but in the very impetus of the imaginaabsolute refuge of such a precarious shelter as a nest-paraconfidence in the world? If we heed this call and make an origin of our dreams-knows nothing of the hostility of the nest, quite as much as the oneiric house, and the oneiric need to enumerate material reasons for confidence. The confidence, which is deeply graven in our sleep, there is no fidence if, in our dreams, we really participate in the sense house, apprehended in its dream potentiality, becomes a tion-we return to the sources of the oneiric house. Our Would a bird build its nest if it did not have its instinct for the origin of confidence in the world, we receive a begindefense and aggressiveness-come much later. In its germiworld. Human life starts with refreshing sleep, and all the house quite as much as the nest-if we ourselves are at the eggs in a nest are kept nicely warm. The experience of the hostility of the world—and consequently, our dreams of And so when we examine a nest, we place ourselves at

<sup>1</sup> Romain Rolland, Colas Breugnon, p. 107.

<sup>2</sup> Loc. cit. p. 572.

<sup>1</sup> Adolphe Shedrow, Berceau sans promesses, p. 33. Seghers, Paris. Shedrow also wrote: I dreamed of a nest in which the ages no longer slept.

nal form, therefore, all of life is well-being. Being starts with well-being. When a philosopher considers a nest, he calms himself by meditating on the subject of his own being in the calm world being. And if we were to translate the absolute naïveté of his daydream into the metaphysical language of today, a dreamer might say that the world is the nest of mankind.

For the world is a nest, and an immense power holds the inhabitants of the world in this nest. In Herder's history of Hebrew poetry there is an image of the immense sky resting on the immense earth: "The air," he wrote, "is a dove which, as it rests on its nest, keeps its young warm."

I was thinking these thoughts and dreaming these dreams when I read a passage in the autumn 1954 issue of Cahiers G.L.M. that encouraged me to maintain the axiom that identifies the nest with the world and makes it the center of the world. Here Boris Pasternak speaks of "the instinct with the help of which, like the swallow, we construct the world—an enormous nest, an agglomerate of earth and sky, of death and life, and of two sorts of time, one we can dispose of and one that is lacking." Yes, two sorts of time, for what a long time we should need before waves of tranquility spreading out from the center of our intimacy, reached the ends of the world.

What a concentration of images in Pasternak's swallow's nest! And, in reality, why should we stop building and molding the world's clay about our own shelters? Mankind's nest, like his world, is never finished. And imagination helps us to continue it. A poet cannot leave such a great image as this, nor, to be more exact, can such an image leave its poet. Boris Pasternak also wrote (loc. cit. p. 5): "Man himself is mute, and it is the image that speaks. For it is obvious that the image alone can keep pace with nature."

<sup>1</sup> French translation: L'histoire de la poésie des Hébreux, p. 269.

<sup>2</sup> Cahiers G.L.M., p. 7, Autumn 1954, translated by André du Bouchet.

